arXiv:1603.01975v1 [math.DG] 7 Mar 2016

PRESCRIBED SCALER CURVATURES FOR HOMOGENEOUS TORIC BUNDLES

BOHUI CHEN, QING HAN, AN-MIN LI, ZHAO LIAN, AND LI SHENG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the generalized Abreu equation on a Delzant ploytope $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and prove the existence of the constant scalar metrics of homogeneous toric bundles under the assumption of an appropriate stability.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is an important question to study whether there exist Kähler metrics of constant scalar curvatures, or more general, the extremal metrics, in given Kähler classes. The underlying equation is a fourth-order or sixth-order nonlinear complex elliptic equation for potential functions. The general form of such an equation seems out of reach at the present time.

In a series of papers [11], [12], [13], and [15], Donaldson studied this problem on toric manifolds and proved the existence of metrics of constant scaler curvatures on toric surfaces under an appropriate stability condition. Later on in [7] and [8], Chen, Li and Sheng proved the existence of metrics of prescribed scaler curvatures on toric surfaces under the uniform stability condition.

Toric manifolds are a class of Kähler manifolds which admit a $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$-action with a dense, fixed-point free orbit and hence admit moment maps with images given by convex polytopes in $\mathbb{R}^n$. On toric manifolds, invariant Kähler metrics can be characterized by their symplectic potentials, which are smooth strictly convex functions on the polytopes. As a result, the fourth-order nonlinear complex elliptic equation mentioned above is reduced to a fourth-order nonlinear real elliptic equation, referred to as the Abreu equation.

In [14], Donaldson suggested to study the existence Kähler metrics of constant scalar curvatures on toric fibrations. He presented the underlying equation, which we call the generalized Abreu equation. In this paper we show that the method developed by Chen, Li and Sheng can be used to study constant scalar curvatures on homogeneous toric bundles.

Chen acknowledges the support of NSFC Grant .

Han acknowledges the support of NSF Grant DMS-1404596.

Li acknowledges the support of NSFC Grant NSFC11521061.

Sheng acknowledges the support of NSFC Grant NSFC11471225.

The main result in this paper is following theorem, concerning the existence of metrics of prescribed scalar curvatures on homogeneous toric bundles.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, ω) be a compact toric surface and Δ be its Delzant polytope. Let G/K be a generalized flag manifold with $dim(Z(K)) = 2$ and $G \times_K M$ be the homogeneous toric bundle. Let $\mathbb{D} > 0$ be the Duistermaat-Heckman polynomial, and $A \in C^\infty(\bar{\Delta})$ be a given smooth function. Suppose that $\mathbb{D}$ is an edge-nonconstant function on $\bar{\Delta}$ and $(\Delta, \mathbb{D}, A)$ is uniformly stable. Then, there is a smooth (G, T^2)-invariant metric $\mathcal{G}$ on $G \times_K M$ such that the scalar curvature of $\mathcal{G}$ is $\mathbb{S} = A + h_G$.*

Theorem 1.1 provides an affirmative answer to the Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture for the homogeneous toric bundle in the case $\mathbb{S} = constant$ and $n = 2$.

Refer to Section 2 for various notations and terminology, in particular, the expressions of $\mathbb{D}$ and h_G in (2.10) and the notions of the uniform stability in Definition 2.1 and the edge-nonconstant functions in Definition 2.4.

The underlying equation is the following fourth-order PDE:

$$-\frac{1}{\mathbb{D}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2(\mathbb{D}u^{ij})}{\partial\xi_i \partial\xi_j} = \mathbb{S} - h_G \quad \text{in } \Delta, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathbb{S}$ is the scalar curvature function on Δ and $\mathbb{D}$ and h_G are two known functions which are determined by the underling geometry, with $\mathbb{D}$ strictly positive in $\bar{\Delta}$. On toric varieties, we have $\mathbb{D} \equiv 1$ and $h_G \equiv 0$, and the equation (1.1) reduces to the Abreu equation. In consistence with toric varieties, we write $A = \mathbb{S} - h_G$.

The equation (1.1) was introduced by Donaldson [14] in the study of scalar curvatures of toric fibrations. See also [27] and [25]. We call (1.1) the *generalized Abreu equation*. Our aim in this paper is to solve (1.1) in Delzant polytopes for solutions satisfying the Guillemin boundary condition.

We first note a simple fact. Even if the scalar curvature $\mathbb{S}$ is constant, the right-hand side of the equation (1.1) is a function in general, due to the presence of h_G. The difference between the Abreu equation and the generalized Abreu equation seems minor, with two functions $\mathbb{D}$ and h_G inserted in the Abreu equation. However, the difference in the underlying geometry is significant and, as a consequence, the study of the generalized Abreu equation has become more complicated.

We first review studies of the Abreu equation for dimension 2, with functions in the right-hand side. Donaldson derived an L^∞-estimate as well as the interior regularity. Chen, Li and Sheng derived regularity near boundary by a series of techniques such as affine blowup, differential inequalities on affine invariant quantities and the Bernstein theorem. They derived regularity near edges and near vertices by different methods. The proof of the regularity near edges consists of three steps. First, they derived an estimate of Ricci curvatures near edges in geodesic balls in terms of the determinants

of the Hessian of solutions. Second, they derived a lower bound of geodesic distances. Third, they derived estimates of a ratio of two determinants related to the Hessian of solutions. By combining these three steps, they obtain regularity near edges. To obtain regularity near vertices, it is crucial to construct subharmonic functions in the preimages of neighborhoods of vertices by moment maps.

Now we turn our attention to the generalized Abreu equation (1.1) in Δ. For $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, Nyberg [25] derived an L^∞-estimate as well as the interior regularity for toric fibrations. For general dimension, Li, Lian, and Sheng [21] proved that the uniform stability is the necessary condition for the solvability of the generalized Abreu equation and implies the interior regularity. Some estimates and differential inequalities were established in [22] and [24].

Our main concern in this paper is the boundary regularity for the generalized Abreu equation (1.1). We will use methods from [7] and [8] to the present setting. Some generalizations from the Abreu equation to the generalized Abreu equation are straightforward, while others are technical and involve more complicated calculations, mostly due to the presence of the function $\mathbb{D}$ in (1.1).

The form of (1.1) is hard to analyze. We need to write it in its equivalent forms. The Abreu equation is given by (1.1) with $\mathbb{D} = 1$ and $h_G = 0$. In this case, we can discuss $u = u(\xi)$ or its Legendre transform $f = f(x)$. The Hessian of u or f plays an important role. In fact, $[\det(u_{ij})]^{-1}$ satisfies a linearized Monge-Ampère equation in coordinates $(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n)$ and $\log\det(f_{ij})$ also satisfies a similar linearized Monge-Ampère equation in coordinates $(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$. We can consider any one of these equations depending on our purposes. However, we do not have such options for the generalized Abreu equation (1.1). For (1.1), the Hessian is replaced by $\mathbb{D}\det(f_{ij})$, which satisfies nice equations in $(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n)$. The function $\log[\mathbb{D}\det(f_{ij})]$ satisfies a linear equation with lower order term in coordinates $(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$. A similar equation also holds in complex manifolds. Based on these equations, we can discuss convergence of sequences of f_k in complex manifolds.

The blowup process is only different. Under appropriate assumptions, we can conclude that $\frac{\partial \log \mathbb{D}_k}{\partial \xi_i}$ converges to zero as $k \to \infty$. Then the limits go back to the Abreu equation.

Estimates near vertices are different significantly. For toric varieties, we need to estimate in preimages U of vertices by moment maps. Now for homogeneous toric bundles, similar estimates need to be established in $G(U)$, under the group action. It is more complicated to construct subharmonic functions there.

We will follow [7] and [8] closely in our study of homogeneous toric bundles. Some estimates were already established in [21], [22], and [24]. In this paper, we will focus only on the difference caused by the presence of $\mathbb{D}$ in (1.1).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly review homogeneous toric bundles and introduce the generalized Abreu equation. We also review some basic estimates for the generalized Abreu equation. In Section 3, we discuss estimates of geodesic distances near the boundary of polytopes. In Section 4, we estimate Ricci curvatures near divisors. In Section 5 and Section 6, we discuss an upper bound and a lower bound of a function H, respectively. In Section 7, we prove a convergence theorem and we only point out the difference. In Section 8, we discuss regularity near boundary and finish the proof of Theorem 1.1. Sections 3, 5 and 6 are similar to the corresponding part in [8]. We only present main estimates. For other sections, we provide a little more detailed discussions and emphasize the difference from the corresponding part in [7] and [8].

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we briefly review homogeneous toric bundles and introduce the generalized Abreu equation. We also review some basic estimates for the generalized Abreu equation.

2.1. **Homogeneous Toric Bundles.** We recall some facts about homogeneous toric bundles and refer to [26], [3] and [14] for details. Let G be a compact semisimple Lie group, K be the centralizer of a torus S in G, and T be a maximal torus in G containing S. Then, $T \subset C(S) = K$ and G/K is a generalized flag manifold. Denote $\mathfrak{o} = eK$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{k}$, $\mathfrak{h}$) be the Lie algebra of G (resp. K, T) and B denote the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$. Recall that $-B$ is a positive definite inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$. There is an orthogonal decomposition with respect to $-B$ given by

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m},$$

with $Ad(k)\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ for any $k \in K$. The tangent space of G/K at $\mathfrak{o}$ is identified with $\mathfrak{m}$.

Let $Z(K)$ be the center of K, which is an n-dimensional torus, denoted by T^n. Let (M, ω) be a compact toric Kähler manifold of complex dimension n, where T^n acts effectively on M. Let $\varrho : K \to T^n$ be a surjective homomorphism. The homogeneous toric bundle $G \times_K M$ is defined to be the space $G \times M$ modulo the relation

$$(gh, x) = (g, \varrho(h)x) \quad \text{for any } g \in G, h \in K, x \in M.$$

Later on, we will omit ϱ to simplify notations. The space $G \times_K M$ is a fiber bundle with fiber M and base space G/K, a generalized flag manifold. There is a natural G-action on $G \times_K M$ given by

$$g \cdot [h, x] = [gh, x] \quad \text{for any } g \in G, x \in M,$$

and a natural T^n-action on $G \times_K M$ given by

$$k \cdot [h, x] = [g, k^{-1}x] \quad \text{for any } k \in T^n.$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}}$ the complexification of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{k}$, $\mathfrak{h}$, respectively. Let R be the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}}$, we have

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R} \mathbb{C} E_\alpha.$$

Then, R admits a decomposition

$$R = R_K + R_M$$

so that $E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}}$ if $\alpha \in R_K$ and $E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}$ if $\alpha \in R_M$. For any $\varphi \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, we define $h_\varphi \in \mathfrak{h}$ by

$$B(h, h_\varphi) = \varphi(h) \quad \text{for any } h \in \mathfrak{h},$$

and set $H_\varphi = \sqrt{-1} h_\varphi$. Define $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{h} \bigcap \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}})$. Consider the restriction map $\kappa : \mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathfrak{t}^*$ given by

$$\kappa(\alpha) = \alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}}.$$

Set $R_T = \kappa(R) = \kappa(R_M)$. The elements of R_T are called T-roots.

We fix an ordering and let R^+ (resp. R_K^+) be the set of positive roots of R (resp. R_K). Set $R_M^+ = R^+ \setminus R_K^+$. Let $\{\tilde{H}_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, \tilde{H}_{\alpha_n}\}$ be a base of $\mathfrak{t}$. We choose a Weyl basis $e_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha^{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that, for $e_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $e_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$,

$$B(e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}) = 1, \quad [e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}] = h_\alpha. \tag{2.1}$$

Set

$$V_\alpha = e_\alpha - e_{-\alpha}, \quad W_\alpha = \sqrt{-1}(e_\alpha + e_{-\alpha}).$$

It is easy to see that $H_\alpha, V_\alpha, W_\alpha \in (\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \oplus [\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}]) \cap \mathfrak{g}$. For any $\alpha \in R^+$, we have

$$\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{n+\ell} M_\alpha^j \alpha_j,$$

with $M_\alpha^j \geq 0$. Obviously,

$$H_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{n+\ell} M_\alpha^j H_{\alpha_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} M_\alpha^j \tilde{H}_{\alpha_j} + \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+\ell} M_\alpha'^j H_{\alpha_j}. \tag{2.2}$$

For any $\alpha \in R_{M^+}$, by $M_\alpha^j \geq 0$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} M_\alpha^j > 0. \tag{2.3}$$

2.2. **The Generalized Abreu Equation.** For any $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $\alpha \in R_M^+$, let $\tilde{H}^*_{\alpha_j}$, V^*_α, W^*_α be the fundamental vector fields corresponding to $\tilde{H}_{\alpha_j}$, V_α, W_α. Then the left-invariant vector fields $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \tilde{H}^*_{\alpha_j}, V^*_\alpha, W^*_\alpha\}_{1\leq j\leq n, \alpha\in R_M^+}$ is a local basis of $G \times_K M$. Let $\{dx_j, \nu^j, dV^\alpha, dW^\alpha\}_{1\leq j\leq n,\alpha\in R_M^+}$ be the dual left-invariant 1-form of the basis.

Denote by $\tau : M \to \bar{\Delta} \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ the moment map of M, where Δ is a Delzant polytope. The left invariant 1-form $\{\nu^1, \cdots, \nu^n\}$ can be seen as a basis of $\mathfrak{t}^*$. The moment map $\tau : M \to \mathfrak{t}^*$ has components relative to this basis of $\mathfrak{t}^*$, which we denote by τ_i. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^n \tau_i \nu^i$ is independent of the choice of the basis.

We fix a point $o \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and identify $\mathfrak{t}^*$ with $T_o\mathbb{R}^n$. We view o as the origin of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\{\nu^1, \cdots, \nu^n\}$ as a basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_n)$ be the coordinate system with respect to such a basis. We choose

$$\bar{\Delta} \subset \{(\xi_1, ..., \xi_n) | \xi_1 > 0,\ \xi_2 > 0,\ ..., \xi_n > 0\}$$

such that

$$\sum_{\alpha\in R_{M^+}} \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^n M^j_\alpha) diam(\Delta)}{D_\alpha} < \frac{n}{4}, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$D_\alpha := 2\sum_{i=1}^n \tau_i \nu^i = 2\sum_{j=1}^n M^j_\alpha \xi_j > 0 \ \ \forall\, \xi \in \bar{\Delta}.$$

Since the moment map is equivariant, we can also regard τ as a map from $G \times_K M$ to $\mathfrak{t}^*$ and the components τ_i as functions on $G \times_K M$; that is, we extend $\tau : G \times_K M \to \bar{\Delta}$ by $\tau([g, x]) = \tau(x)$.

Suppose that Δ is defined by linear inequalities $h_k(\xi) - c_k > 0$, for $k = 1, \cdots, d$, where c_k are constants and h_k are affine functions in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $k = 1, \cdots, d$, and each $h_k(\xi) - c_k = 0$ defines a facet of Δ. Write $\delta_k(\xi) = h_k(\xi) - c_k$ and set

$$v(\xi) = \sum_k \delta_k(\xi) \log \delta_k(\xi). \tag{2.5}$$

It defines a Kähler metric on $G \times_K M$, which we call the Guillemin metric. For any strictly convex function u with $u - v \in C^\infty(\bar{\Delta})$, we consider the Kähler metric $\mathcal{G}_u$ on $G \times_K M$ defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_u = \sum_{i,j=1}^n (u_{ij} d\xi_i \otimes d\xi_j + u^{ij}\nu^i \otimes \nu^j) + \sum_{\alpha\in R_{M^+}} D_\alpha (dV^\alpha \otimes dV^\alpha + dW^\alpha \otimes dW^\alpha),$$

where $u_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi_i \partial \xi_j}$ and (u^{ij}) is the inverse matrix of (u_{ij}). Let f be the Legendre transformation of u, i.e.,

$$x^i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_i}, \quad f = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x^j \xi_j - u.$$

In term of x and f, the metric can be written as

$$\mathcal{G}_f = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (f_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j + f_{ij} \nu^i \otimes \nu^j) + \sum_{\alpha \in R_{M^+}} D_\alpha (dV^\alpha \otimes dV^\alpha + dW^\alpha \otimes dW^\alpha),$$

where $f_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}$. One can check that f is the potential function of the metric $\mathcal{G}_f$.

Set

$$S_j = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \sqrt{-1} H_j^*), \quad S_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(V_\alpha^* - \sqrt{-1} W_\alpha^*), \quad j \le m, \ \alpha \in R_{M^+}.$$

As in [24] (see also [26]), the Ricci curvatures are given by

$$Ric(S_j, \bar{S}_k) = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^2 log \mathbb{F}_\Delta}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}, \quad Ric(S_\alpha, \bar{S}_k) = Ric(S_j, \bar{S}_\alpha) = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

$$Ric(S_\alpha, \bar{S}_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \left[-\frac{1}{4} \sum f^{kl} \frac{\partial D_\alpha}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial log \mathbb{F}_\Delta}{\partial x_l} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial D_\alpha}{\partial \xi_k} \sigma_k \right], \tag{2.7}$$

where $\mathbb{F}_\Delta = \left(\prod_{\alpha \in R_{M^+}} D_\alpha \right) \cdot \det(f_{ij})$, σ is the sum of the positive roots of R_M^+, and

$$\sigma_i = -2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_M^+} \alpha(\sqrt{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i).$$

Denote

$$\mathbb{K} \ = \ \|Ric\|_{\mathcal{G}_f} + \|\nabla Ric\|_{\mathcal{G}_f}^{\frac{2}{3}} + \|\nabla^2 Ric\|_{\mathcal{G}_f}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.8}$$

The scalar curvature of $\mathcal{G}_u$ is given by

$$\mathbb{S} = -\frac{1}{\mathbb{D}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 (\mathbb{D} u^{ij})}{\partial \xi_i \partial \xi_j} + h_G, \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\mathbb{D} = \prod_{\alpha \in R_{M^+}} D_\alpha, \quad h_G = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i \frac{\partial \log \mathbb{D}}{\partial \xi_i}. \tag{2.10}$$

Here, $\mathbb{D}$ is called the Duistermaat-Heckman polynomial. Set $A = \mathbb{S} - h_G$.

We will consider the equation

$$-\frac{1}{\mathbb{D}}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2(\mathbb{D}u^{ij})}{\partial\xi_i\partial\xi_j}=A, \tag{2.11}$$

where $\mathbb{D}>0$ and A are given functions defined on $\bar{\Delta}$. The equation (2.11) was introduced by Donaldson [14] in the study of scalar curvatures of toric fibrations. See also [27] and [25]. We call (2.11) the generalized Abreu Equation.

2.3. **Uniform Stability.** We introduce several classes of functions. Set

$$\mathcal{C}=\{u\in C(\bar{\Delta}):\ u \text{ is convex on } \bar{\Delta} \text{ and smooth on } \Delta\},$$
$$\mathbf{S}=\{u\in C(\bar{\Delta}):\ u \text{ is convex on } \bar{\Delta} \text{ and } u-v \text{ is smooth on } \bar{\Delta}\},$$

where v is given in (2.5). For a fixed point $p_o\in\Delta$, we consider

$$\mathcal{C}_{p_o}=\{u\in\mathcal{C}:\ u\geq u(p_o)=0\},$$
$$\mathbf{S}_{p_o}=\{u\in\mathbf{S}:\ u\geq u(p_o)=0\}.$$

We say functions in $\mathcal{C}_{p_o}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{p_o}$ are *normalized* at p_o.

Following [25], we consider

$$\mathcal{F}_A(u)=-\int_\Delta \log\det(u_{ij})\mathbb{D}d\mu+\mathcal{L}_A(u), \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_A(u)=\int_{\partial\Delta}u\mathbb{D}d\sigma+\int_\Delta Au\mathbb{D}d\mu, \tag{2.13}$$

where $\mathbb{D}>0$ and A are given smooth functions defined on $\bar{\Delta}$. $\mathcal{F}_A$ is called the Mabuchi functional and $\mathcal{L}_A$ is closely related to the Futaki invariants. The Euler-Lagrangian equation for $\mathcal{F}_A$ is (2.11). It is known that, if $u\in\mathbf{S}$ satisfies the equation (2.11), then u is an absolute minimizer for $\mathcal{F}_A$ on $\mathbf{S}$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathbb{D}>0$ and A be two smooth functions on $\bar{\Delta}$. Then, $(\Delta,\mathbb{D},A)$ is called *uniformly K-stable* if the functional $\mathcal{L}_A$ vanishes on affine-linear functions and there exists a constant $\lambda>0$ such that, for any $u\in\mathcal{C}_{p_o}$,

$$\mathcal{L}_A(u)\geq\lambda\int_{\partial\Delta}u\mathbb{D}d\sigma. \tag{2.14}$$

We also say that Δ is $(\mathbb{D},A,\lambda)$-stable.

Li, Lian and Sheng [21] proved that

(1) if the equation (2.11) has a solution in $\mathbf{S}$, then $(\Delta,\mathbb{D},A)$ is uniform K-stable,
(2) the uniform K-stability implies the interior regularity for any dimension n.

Remark 2.2. Nyberg [25] proved (2) for toric fibration and $n=2$.

Donaldson [15] derived an L^∞-estimate for the Abreu equation in $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. His method can be applied directly to the generalized Abreu Equation $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. See [25].

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a Delzant polytope and $\mathbb{D} > 0$ and A be two smooth functions defined on $\bar{\Delta}$. Let $u \in C^\infty(\Delta)$ satisfy* (2.11)*. Suppose that Δ is $(\mathbb{D}, A, \lambda)$-stable. Then,*

$$|\max_{\bar{\Delta}} u - \min_{\bar{\Delta}} u| \leq \mathsf{C}_o,$$

where C_o is a positive constant depending on λ, Δ, $\mathbb{D}$ and $\|A\|_{C^0}$.

Definition 2.4. Let K be a smooth function on $\bar{\Delta}$. It is called *edge-nonconstant* if it is not constant on any edge of Δ. It is called *edge-nonvanishing* if it does not vanish on any edge of Δ.

2.4. **Some Estimates for the Generalized Abreu Equation.** We adopt notations in [7], [8]. Let (M, ω_o) be a compact toric Kähler manifold of complex dimension n and denote by $\tau : M \to \bar{\Delta} \subset \mathfrak{t}$ the moment map of M, where Δ is a Delzant polytope. Suppose that ω_o is the Guillemin metric with the local potential function $\mathbf{g}$. For any T^n-invariant metric $\omega \in [\omega_o]$ with the local potential function $\mathbf{f}$, there is a function ϕ globally defined on M such that

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{g} + \phi.$$

Set

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \omega_o) = \{\mathsf{f} | \mathsf{f} = \mathsf{g} + \phi, \phi \in C^\infty_{\mathbb{T}^2}(M) \text{ and } \omega_{\mathsf{f}} > 0\}.$$

Fix a large constant $K_o > 0$. We set

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \omega_o; K_o) = \{\mathsf{f} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \omega_o) || \mathcal{S}(f)| \leq K_o\}.$$

Take a point $p_o \in \bar{\Delta}$. We choose coordinates $\xi_1, ..., \xi_n$ such that $\xi(p_o) = 0$. Let $u = u(\xi_1, ..., \xi_n) \in \mathbf{S}$ be a solution of (2.11). Set

$$x_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_i}, \quad f = \sum_i x_i \xi_i - u,$$

and

$$w_i = x_i + \sqrt{-1} y_i, \quad z_i = e^{w_i/2}.$$

In the case $p_o \in \partial\Delta$, it is easy to show that the potential function f can be extended smoothly to the divisors (see [7] and [8]). The Ricci curvature and the scalar curvature of the Kähler metric ω_f^M are given by

$$R^M_{i\bar{j}} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} \left(\log \det \left(f_{k\bar{l}}\right)\right), \quad \mathcal{S}^M = \sum f^{i\bar{j}} R_{i\bar{j}},$$

respectively. When we use the log-affine coordinates on $\mathfrak{t}$, the Ricci curvature and the scalar curvature of ω_f^M can be written as

$$R_{i\bar{j}}^M = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\left(\log\det\left(f_{kl}\right)\right), \quad \mathcal{S}^M = -\sum f^{ij}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\left(\log\det\left(f_{kl}\right)\right).$$

Define

$$\mathcal{K} \;=\; \|Ric^M\|_f + \|\nabla Ric^M\|_f^{\frac{2}{3}} + \|\nabla^2 Ric^M\|_f^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.15}$$

Set

$$\mathbb{F}_\Delta = \mathbb{D}[\det(u_{ij})]^{-1} = \mathbb{D}\det(f_{ij}).$$

We can rewrite (2.11) in the coordinates $(x^1, \cdots, x^n)$ as

$$-\sum_{i,j} f^{ij}(\log \mathbb{F}_\Delta)_{ij} - \sum_{i,j} f^{ij}(\log \mathbb{D})_i(\log \mathbb{F}_\Delta)_j = A. \tag{2.16}$$

On toric varieties, $\mathbb{D} \equiv 0$ and then $\det(f_{ij})$ satisfies a linearized Monge-Ampère equation. For the present case, first-order terms appear in (2.16).

Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.4 in [8] and Proposition 3.9 and Proposition 4.2 in [7] can be extended to the generalized Abreu equation. The following lemma is proved in [21].

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Delzant polytope, $\mathbb{D} > 0$ and A be smooth functions on $\bar{\Delta}$. Suppose that $u \in C^\infty(\Delta)$ satisfies the generalized Abreu equation* (2.11) *and that $\mathbb{F} = 0$ on $\partial\Delta$. Let E be a facet of Δ given by $\xi_1 = 0$ and let $p \in E^o$. Then, in a neighborhood of p,*

$$det(D^2 u) \geq \frac{b}{\xi_1}$$

where b is a positive constant depending only on n, $diam(\Delta)$, $\max_{\bar{\Delta}} \mathbb{D}$, $\min_{\bar{\Delta}} \mathbb{D}$ and $\|A\|_{L^\infty(\Delta)}$.

The following results are proved in [22].

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a Delzant ploytope, $\mathbb{D} > 0$ and A be smooth functions on $\bar{\Delta}$. Suppose that $u \in \mathbf{S}_{p_o}$ satisfies the generalized Abreu equation* (2.11)*, and suppose that there are two constants $b, d > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{\sum\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_k}\right)^2}{(d+f)^2} \leq b, \quad d + f \geq 1 \tag{2.17}$$

where f is the Legendre function of u. Then,

$$\frac{\det(\partial_{ij}^2 u)}{(d+f)^4}(p) \leq \frac{b_0}{d_E(p, \partial\Delta)^4},$$

then b_0 is a positive constant depending $diam(\Delta)$, $\max_{\bar{\Delta}} \mathbb{D}$, $\min_{\bar{\Delta}} \mathbb{D}$ and $\|A\|_{L^\infty(\Delta)}$.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\Omega^* \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a normalized domain. Let $u_k \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega^*, 1)$ be a sequence of functions and p_k^o be the minimal point of u_k. Let $\mathbb{D}_k > 0$ be a given smooth function defined on $\overline{\Omega}^*$. Suppose that there is $\mathsf{N}_1 > 0$ such that*

$$|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{D}_k}(u_k)| \leq \mathsf{N}_1, \quad \mathsf{N}_1^{-1} \leq \mathbb{D}_k \leq \mathsf{N}_1$$

and

$$\sup_{\Omega^*} |\nabla_\xi \log \mathbb{D}_k| \leq \mathsf{N}_1.$$

Then, up to subsequences, u_k locally uniformly converges to a function u_∞ in Ω^* and p_k^o converges to p_∞^o such that

$$d_E(p_\infty^o, \partial\Omega^*) > \mathsf{s}$$

for some constant $\mathsf{s} > 0$ and in $D_{\mathsf{s}}(p_\infty^o)$

$$\|u\|_{C^{3,\alpha}} \leq \mathsf{C}_1$$

for some $\mathsf{C}_1 > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Let $u(\xi)$ be a smooth, strictly convex function defined on a convex domain $\Omega^* \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$. As u is strictly convex,

$$G_u = \sum_{i,j} u_{ij} d\xi_i d\xi_j$$

defines a Riemannian metric on Ω. We call it the *Calabi* metric.

For any $p \in \bar{\Delta}$, denote $B_b(p, \Delta) = \{q \in \Delta | \ d_u(q, p) < b\}$, where $d_u(p, q)$ is the distance from p to q with respect to the Calabi metric G_u. Sometimes we call it the intersection of the geodesic ball $B_b(p)$ and Δ, and denote by $B_b(p) \cap \Delta$.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $u \in \mathbf{S}$. Choose a coordinate system (ξ_1, ξ_2) such that $\ell = \{\xi | \xi_1 = 0\}$. Let $p \in \ell^\circ$ such that $B_b(p, \Delta)$ intersection with $\partial\Delta$ lies in the interior of ℓ. Suppose that*

$$\|\mathbb{S}(u)\|_{C^3(B_b(p,\Delta))} \leq \mathsf{N}_2, \quad h_{22}|_\ell \geq \mathsf{N}_2^{-1}, \tag{2.18}$$

for some constant $\mathsf{N}_2 > 0$, where $h = u|_\ell$ and $\|.\|_{C^3(\Delta)}$ denotes the Euclidean C^3-norm. Then, for any $p \in B_{b/2}(p, \Delta)$,

$$(\Theta + \mathcal{K} + \mathbb{K})(p) d_u^2(p, \ell) \leq \mathsf{C}_2, \tag{2.19}$$

where C_2 is a positive constant depending only on N.

3. Estimates of Riemannian Distances on $\partial\Delta$

In this section, we discuss estimates of geodesic distances near the boundary of polytopes. We proceed similarly as in Section 5 [8] and we only state results.

Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a Delzant polytope, ℓ be an edge of Δ and ℓ° be the interior of ℓ. Let $\mathsf{h}^* \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ be the half plane given by $\xi_1 \geq 0$. Take a point $\xi^{(\ell)} \in \ell^\circ$. For simplicity, we fix a coordinate system on $\mathfrak{t}^*$ such that (i) ℓ is on the ξ_2-axis; (ii) $\xi^{(\ell)} = 0$; (iii) $\Delta \subset \mathsf{h}^*$. Define

$$\ell_{c,d} = \{(0, \xi_2) | c \leq \xi_2 \leq d\} \subset \ell^o.$$

Let $u_k \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Delta, v; K_o)$ be a sequence of functions satisfying

$$-\frac{1}{\mathbb{D}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbb{D}(u_k)^{ij}}{\partial \xi_i \partial \xi_j} = A_k. \tag{3.1}$$

We define the operator $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}}(u)$ as

$$\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}}(u) = -\frac{1}{\mathbb{D}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbb{D} u^{ij}}{\partial \xi_i \partial \xi_j}.$$

Suppose that Δ is uniformly $(\mathbb{D}, A_k, \lambda)$-stable and that A_k C^3-converges to A on $\bar{\Delta}$. Then, by the interior regularity and Theorem 2.3, we have

(1) $|\max_{\bar{\Delta}} u_k - \min_{\bar{\Delta}} u_k| \leq \mathsf{C}_o$,
(2) u_k locally C^6-converges in Δ to a strictly convex function u_∞ and u_∞ can be continuously extended to be defined on $\bar{\Delta}$.

Denote by h_k the restriction of u_k to ℓ. Then, h_k locally uniformly converges to a convex function h on ℓ. Obviously, $u_\infty|_{\ell^\circ} \leq h$. By the estimates of determinantes provided by Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 and the same argument as in Subsections §5.1-§5.2[8], we get the C^0-convergence and the strict convexity of h as follows.

Lemma 3.1. *For $q \in \ell^\circ$, $u_\infty(q) = h(q)$.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let $u \in \mathbf{S}$ and $h = u|_\ell$. There is a constant $\mathsf{C}_3 > 0$ depending only $diam(\Delta)$, $\max_{\bar{\Delta}} \mathbb{D}$, $\min_{\bar{\Delta}} \mathbb{D}$ and $\|A\|_{L^\infty(\Delta)}$, such that on $\ell_{c,d}$, $\partial_{22}^2 h \geq \mathsf{C}_3$.*

By Theorem 2.7, Theorem 2.8 and by using the same method as in Subsections §5.3-§5.4[8] (see [22] for more explanation), we can prove the following result.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $p \in \ell_{c,d}$. Suppose that $D_a(p) \cap \partial\Delta \subset \ell_{c-\epsilon_o, d+\epsilon_o}$ for some $0 < a < \epsilon_o$. Then, there exists a small constant ϵ, independent of k, such that the intersection of the geodesic ball $B_\epsilon^{(k)}(p)$ and Δ is contained in a Euclidean half-disk $D_a(p) \cap \Delta$. Here $D_a(p)$ and $B_a^{(k)}(p)$ denote the balls of radius a that are centered at p with respect to the Euclidean metric and Calabi metric G_{u_k} respectively.*

4. Estimates of $\mathcal{K}$ near Divisors

In this section, we estimate Ricci curvatures near divisors. We follow the corresponding section in [7].

Consider a polytope $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Let $\mathfrak{z}_o$ be a point on a divisor Z_ℓ for some ℓ and consider $\mathsf{p}_o \in \ell$ with $\mathsf{p}_o = \tau(\mathfrak{z}_o)$. We will denote $Z := Z_\ell$. Take a coordinate transformation

$$\xi_i^\ell = \sum_{j=1}^{2} a_i^j (\xi_j - \xi_j(\mathsf{p}_o))$$

so that $\xi^\ell(\mathsf{p}_o) = 0$ and $\ell = \{\xi^\ell | \xi_1^\ell = 0\}$. Let f_ℓ be the Legendre transformation of u in terms of ξ^ℓ, i.e.,

$$x_\ell^i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_i^\ell}, \quad f_\ell = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \xi_i^\ell x_\ell^i - u.$$

Then, $f_\ell(\mathfrak{z}_o) = \inf f_\ell = 0$ and

$$f_\ell = f - \sum a_i x^i - c_o,$$

where f is as in section §2.2. Choose a local complex coordinate system (z_ℓ^1, z_ℓ^2) around $\mathfrak{z}_o$ such that

$$z_\ell^1 = e^{\frac{1}{2}(x_\ell^1 + \sqrt{-1} y_\ell^1)}, \quad z_\ell^2 = x_\ell^2 + \sqrt{-1} y_\ell^2.$$

Set

$$W_\ell = 64 \det \left(\frac{\partial^2 f_\ell}{\partial z_\ell^i \partial \bar{z}_\ell^j} \right) = \det \left(\frac{\partial^2 f_\ell}{\partial x_\ell^i \partial x_\ell^j} \right) e^{-x_\ell^1}, \qquad \mathbb{F}_\ell = W_\ell \mathbb{D}, \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\mathbf{\Psi}_\ell := \|\nabla log \mathbb{F}_\ell\|_f^2, \qquad P = \exp(\kappa \mathbb{F}_\ell^a) \sqrt{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \mathbf{\Psi}_\ell, \tag{4.2}$$

where κ and a are constants to be determined later. Then by (2.16), we have

$$-\Box \log \mathbb{F}_\ell = A + \sum_{k=1}^{2} \frac{\partial \log \mathbb{D}}{\partial \xi_k} \frac{\partial x_\ell^1}{\partial x^k} = A + \sum_{k=1}^{2} \frac{\partial \log \mathbb{D}}{\partial \xi_k} b_k^1 := \mathbb{A}_\ell, \tag{4.3}$$

where (b_i^j) denote the inverse matrix of (a_i^j). We point out that the equation (4.3) involves first-order terms due to the presence of $\mathbb{D}$.

In this section, we denote $\mathbb{F}_\ell, \mathbf{\Psi}_\ell, \mathbb{A}_\ell, \cdots$ by $\mathbb{F}, \mathbf{\Psi}, \mathbb{A}, \cdots$ to simply notation. We proceed similarly as in [7] to prove the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $u \in \mathbf{S}$. Choose a coordinate system (ξ_1, ξ_2) such that $\ell = \{\xi | \xi_1 = 0\}$. Let $p \in \ell^o$ and $D_b(p) \cap \bar{\Delta}$ be an Euclidean half-disk such that its intersection with $\partial\Delta$ lies*

in the interior of ℓ. Let $B_a(\mathfrak{z}_o)$ be a closed geodesic ball satisfying $\tau_f(B_a(\mathfrak{z}_o)) \subset D_b(p)$. Suppose that

$$\min_{D_b(p)\cap\bar{\Delta}} |\mathbb{A}(u)| \geq \delta > 0, \quad \|\mathbb{A}(u)\|_{C^3(D_b(p)\cap\bar{\Delta})} \leq \mathsf{N}_3, \quad h_{22}|_{D_b(p)\cap\ell} \geq \mathsf{N}_3^{-1}, \tag{4.4}$$

for some constant $N_3 > 0$, where $h = u|_\ell$ and $\|.\|_{C^3(\Delta)}$ denotes the Euclidean C^3-norm. Then,

$$\frac{\mathbb{F}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathfrak{z})}{\max\limits_{B_a(\mathfrak{z}_o)} \mathbb{F}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{z}) + \|\nabla \log|\mathbb{A}|\|^2(\mathfrak{z}) + \mathbf{\Psi}(\mathfrak{z})\right) a^2 \leq \mathsf{C}_4 \quad \textit{for any } \mathfrak{z} \in B_{a/2}(\mathfrak{z}_o), \tag{4.5}$$

where $\mathsf{C}_4 > 0$ is a positive constant depending only on a, b, δ, and N_3.

4.1. **Uniform Control of Sections.** In order to use affine blow-up technique we need to control sections (see Subsection §7.2[7]). For the generalized Abreu equation we need more arguments due to the presence of the function $\mathbb{D}$.

We consider functions $u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathsf{h}^*, v_{\mathsf{h}^*})$; namely $u = \xi_1 \log \xi_1 + \xi_2^2 + \psi$ is strictly convex for some $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathsf{h}^*)$. We assume, in addition,

$$\Theta_u(p) d_u^2(p, \partial\mathsf{h}^*) \leq \mathsf{C}_2, \tag{4.6}$$

where $d_u(p, \partial\mathsf{h}^*)$ is the distance from p to $\partial\mathsf{h}^*$ with respect to the Calabi metric G_u.

Let $\mathfrak{z}^\circ \in \mathsf{U}_{\mathsf{h}^*}$ be any point such that $d(\mathfrak{z}^\circ, Z) = 1$ and $\mathfrak{z}^* \in B_1(\mathfrak{z}^\circ) \cap Z$, where $d(\mathfrak{z}^\circ, Z)$ is the distance from $\mathfrak{z}^\circ$ to Z with respect to the metric $\mathcal{G}_f$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\mathfrak{z}^\circ$ is a representative point of its orbit and assume that it is on $\mathfrak{t}$. Let p° and p^* be their images of moment map τ_f, respectively.

By adding a linear function we normalize u such that p° is the minimal point of u, i.e.,

$$u(p^\circ) = \inf u. \tag{4.7}$$

Let $\check{p}$ be the minimal point of u on $\partial\mathsf{h}^*$ which is the boundary of h^*. By adding some constant to u, we may require that

$$u(\check{p}) = 0, \tag{4.8}$$

and, by a coordinate translation, we may assume that

$$\xi(\check{p}) = 0. \tag{4.9}$$

See Figure 1. Set $z^* = \nabla^u(p^*)$ and

$$S_0 := \left\{ (-\infty, x_2) \in \mathfrak{t}_2 \mid \left| \int_{x_2}^{x_2(z^*)} \sqrt{f_{22}} dx_2 \right| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

By a coordinate transformation

$$A(\xi_1, \xi_2) = (\xi_1, \beta\xi_2), \tag{4.10}$$



FIGURE 1.

we can normalize u such that

$$|S_0| = 10. \tag{4.11}$$

We say $(u, p^\circ, \check{p})$ is a *minimal-normalized triple* if u satisfies (4.7), (4.8), (4.9), (4.11), and $d(p^\circ, \partial \mathsf{h}^*) = 1$.

Definition 4.2. Let $(u, p^\circ, \check{p})$ be a minimal-normalized triple. Let N be a constant sufficiently large. We say that $(u, p^\circ, \check{p})$ is a *bounded-normalized triple* if

$$\mathcal{K}(z) \leq 4, \quad \text{for any } z \in \tau_f^{-1}(B_N(p^\circ)), \tag{4.12}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{W(z)}{W(z')} \leq 4 \quad \text{for any } z, z' \in \tau_f^{-1}(B_N(p^\circ)). \tag{4.13}$$

Then by the same argument in Subsections §7.2-§7.3 [7], we can prove the following result. In fact, only Lemma 7.6 in [7] needs more explanation, which we gave in [22].

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(u_k, p_k^\circ, \check{p}_k)$ be a sequence of bounded-normalized triples. Suppose that $\lim_{k\to 0} |\mathbb{S}(u_k)| \to 0$. Then,*

1. *p_k° converges to a point p_∞° and u_k $C^{3,\alpha}$-converges to a strictly convex function u_∞ in $D_s(p_\infty^\circ) \subset \mathsf{h}^*$, where s is a constant independent of k;*
2. *$\mathfrak{z}_k^*$ converges to a point $\mathfrak{z}_\infty^*$ and f_k $C^{3,\alpha}$-converges to a function f_∞ in $D_{a_1}(\mathfrak{z}_\infty^*)$, where $a_1 > 0$ is a constant independent of k.*

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 4.1.**

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Set

$$\mathcal{W}_f = \frac{\mathbb{F}}{\max_{B_a(\mathfrak{z}_o)} \mathbb{F}}, \quad \mathcal{R}_f = \mathcal{K}(f) + \mathbb{K}(f) + \|\nabla \log |\mathbb{A}(f)|\|^2 + \mathbf{\Psi}(f).$$

We note that $\mathbb{K}(f)$ is extra compared with the corresponding expression $\mathcal{R}_f$ on toric varieties as in [7].

Suppose that the theorem is not true. Then, there is a sequence of functions f_k and a sequence of points $\mathfrak{z}_k' \in B_{a/2}(\mathfrak{z}_o)$ such that

$$\mathcal{W}_k^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{R}_k(\mathfrak{z}_k')a^2 \to \infty \quad \text{as } k \to \infty, \tag{4.14}$$

where $\mathcal{W}_k = \mathcal{W}_{f_k}$ and $\mathcal{R}_k = \mathcal{R}_{f_k}$. Note that $\mathcal{W}_k \leq 1$ in $B_a(\mathfrak{z}_o)$. Consider the function

$$F_k(z) = \mathcal{W}_k^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{R}_k(z)[d_{f_k}(z, \partial B_a(\mathfrak{z}_o))]^2$$

defined in $B_a(\mathfrak{z}_o)$, where $B_a(\mathfrak{z}_o)$ and $d_{f_k}(z, \partial B_a(\mathfrak{z}_o))$ denotes the geodesic ball and the geodesic distance with respect to the metric $\mathcal{G}_{f_k}$. Suppose that it attains its maximum at z_k^o. By (4.14), we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} F_k(z_k^o) \to +\infty, \quad \lim_{k\to\infty} \mathcal{W}_k^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{R}_k(z_k^o) = +\infty, \quad \lim_{k\to\infty} \mathcal{R}_k(z_k^o) = +\infty. \tag{4.15}$$

Set

$$d_k = \frac{1}{2} d_{f_k}(z_k^o, \partial B_a(\mathfrak{z}_o)).$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{F}_k{}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{R}_k \leq 4\mathbb{F}_k{}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{R}_k(z_k^o) \quad \text{in } B_{d_k}(z_k^o).$$

Let $z_k^* \in Z$ be the point such that $d_{f_k}(z_k^o, z_k^*) = d_{f_k}(z_k^o, Z)$. Denote by $q_k^o, q_k^*, \ldots,$ the images of $z_k^o, z_k^*, \ldots,$ under the moment map τ_f.

Now we perform the affine blow-up analysis to derive a contradiction. We consider the following affine transformation on u:

$$\check{u}(\xi) = \lambda u(A^{-1}(\xi)) + \eta\xi_1, \tag{4.16}$$

where $A(\xi_1, \xi_2) = (\lambda\xi_1, \beta\xi_2)$. Let $\check{f} = L(\check{u})$. Set

$$\lambda_k = \beta_k^2 = \mathcal{R}_k(z_k^o), \quad \eta_k = (1+l)\log\alpha_k + \log\mathbb{F}(z_k^o).$$

where l is the number of R_{M^+}. Corresponding to the $u_k \to \check{u}_k$, we also have changes $f_k \to \check{f}_k$, $d_k \to \check{d}_k$, etc. Then,

$$\check{x}^1 = x^1, \quad \check{x}^2 = \frac{\lambda}{\beta}x^2.$$

This transformation induces

$$d\check{x}^1 = dx^1, \quad d\check{x}^2 = \frac{\lambda}{\beta}dx^2, \quad \check{\nu}^1 = \nu^1, \quad \check{\nu}^2 = \frac{\lambda}{\beta}\nu^2.$$

Let $\{\check{H}_i \in \mathfrak{t}, i = 1, 2\}$ be the dual of $\{\check{\nu}^i\}$.Then,

$$\check{H}_1 = \tilde{H}_1, \quad \check{H}_2 = \frac{\beta}{\lambda}\tilde{H}_2.$$

Let $\check{M}^j_\alpha$ be the constants such that $H_\alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}} = \sum_{j=1}^2 \check{M}^j_\alpha \check{H}_j$. Then,

$$\check{D}_\alpha = \sum \check{M}^j_\alpha \frac{\partial \check{f}}{\partial \check{x}^j} = \lambda \sum M^j_\alpha \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} = \lambda D_\alpha.$$

It induces the metric transformation

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_{\check{f}} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} (\check{u}_{ij} d\check{\xi}_i \otimes d\check{\xi}^j + \check{u}^{ij}\check{\nu}^i \otimes \check{\nu}^j) + \sum_{\alpha \in R_{M^+}} \check{D}_\alpha (dV^\alpha \otimes dV^\alpha + dW^\alpha \otimes dW^\alpha) \\ &= \lambda \mathcal{G}_u,\end{aligned}$$

where $\check{u}_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 \check{u}}{\partial \check{\xi}_i \partial \check{\xi}_j}$ and $(\check{u}^{ij})$ denotes the inverse of $(\check{u}_{ij})$. Obviously, $\check{\mathbb{D}} = \lambda^l \mathbb{D}$.

A direct calculation yields

$$\check{\mathbb{F}}(z) = \lambda^{l+1} e^{-\eta} \mathbb{F}(B_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1} z), \quad \check{\mathbf{\Psi}}(z) = \lambda^{-1} \mathbf{\Psi}(B_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1} z), \quad \check{\mathbb{K}}(z) = \lambda^{-1} \mathbb{K}(B_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1} z),$$

and

$$\|\nabla \log |\check{\mathbb{A}}|\|^2_{\check{f}}(z) = \lambda^{-1} \|\nabla \log |\mathbb{A}|\|^2_f (B_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1} z), \quad \check{\mathcal{R}}(z) = \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{R}(B_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1} z).$$

We claim that

(1) $\lambda_k \to \infty$, $\check{d}_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$;
(2) $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \max\limits_{B_{\check{d}_k}(\check{z}^o_k)} |\check{\mathbb{A}}_k| = 0$;
(3) $\check{\mathbb{F}}(\check{z}^o_k) = 1$;
(4) $\check{\mathbb{F}}_k^{\frac{1}{2}} \check{\mathcal{R}}_k(\check{z}^o_k) = 1$ and $\check{\mathbb{F}}_k^{\frac{1}{2}} \check{\mathcal{R}}_k \le 4 \check{\mathbb{F}}_k^{\frac{1}{2}} \check{\mathcal{R}}_k(z^o_k) = 4$ in $B_{\check{d}_k}(\check{z}^o_k)$;
(5) $\check{\mathbb{F}}_k^{\frac{1}{2}} \check{\mathbf{\Psi}}_k \to 0$ in $B_{\check{d}_k/2}(\check{z}^o_k)$;
(6) $\check{z}^*_k \in B_{\sqrt{\mathsf{C}_2}}(\check{z}^o_k)$.

Note that the proof of (1)-(4) and (6) are the same as in [7]. To prove (5), we need the following lemma, the proof of which can be found in [24].

Lemma 4.4. *Let $o \in \tau^{-1}(\ell^o)$ and $B_a(o)$ be a closed geodesic ball of radius a centered at o with respect to $\mathcal{G}_f$. Let $\mathbb{F}_\diamond := \max\limits_{B_a(o)} \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that*

$$\min_{B_a(o)} |\mathbb{A}| \neq 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathbb{F}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{K} + \|\nabla \log |\mathbb{A}|\|^2_f + \mathbf{\Psi}) \le 4 \quad \textit{in } B_a(o). \tag{4.17}$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{F}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{\Psi} \le \mathsf{C}_5 \left[\mathbb{F}_\diamond^{\frac{1}{2}} \max_{B_a(o)} |\mathbb{A}| + \mathbb{F}_\diamond^{\frac{1}{3}} \max_{B_a(o)} |\mathbb{A}|^{\frac{2}{3}} + a^{-1} \mathbb{F}_\diamond^{\frac{1}{4}} + a^{-2} \mathbb{F}_\diamond^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \quad \textit{in } B_{a/2}(o), \tag{4.18}$$

where C_5 is a constant.

By (5), we know that, for any fixed R and for any small constant $\epsilon > 0$,

$$1 - \epsilon \le \check{\mathbb{F}}_k(z) \le 1 + \epsilon, \quad \check{\mathbf{\Psi}}_k \le \epsilon \quad \text{for any } z \in B_R(\check{z}^o_k), \tag{4.19}$$

when k is large enough. By (5) and (6), (4.19) also holds in $B_R(\check{z}_k^*)$.

It follows from (4) and (4.19) that

$$\check{\mathcal{R}}_k \le 5 \quad \text{for any } z \in B_R(\check{z}_k^o). \tag{4.20}$$

To derive a contradiction we need the convergence of $\check{f}_k$. We discuss two cases.

Case 1. There is a constant $C' > 0$ such that $\check{d}_k(\check{z}_k^o, \check{z}_k^*) \ge C'$,

Case 2. $\lim_{k\to\infty} \check{d}_k(\check{z}_k^o, \check{z}_k^*) = 0$.

By affine transformations as in (4.16) with $\lambda = 1$, we can minimal-normalize $(\check{u}, \check{p}^\circ, \check{\tilde{p}})$. To simply notations we still denote them by $\check{u}, \check{d}$ and etc, after this transformation. Since $\check{\mathcal{R}}, \check{\mathcal{K}}, \check{\mathbb{K}}, \check{\mathbf{\Phi}}$ and $\check{W}(z)/\check{W}(z')$ for any $z, z' \in B_N(\check{z}^o)$ are invariant under these transformations, then $(\check{u}, \check{p}^\circ, \check{\tilde{p}})$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 4.3.

As in [7], for both cases, by Theorem 4.3, we have $\check{z}_k^o$ converges to a point $\check{z}_\infty^\circ$ and $\check{f}_k$ $C^{3,\alpha}$-converges to a function $\check{f}_\infty$ in a neighborhood of $D_{b_1}(\check{z}_\infty^\circ)$, and

$$\check{W} \equiv const., \qquad C_1^{-1} \le \check{f}_{i\bar{j}} \le C_1 \quad \text{in } D_{b_1}(\check{z}_\infty^\circ), \tag{4.21}$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is a constant and b_1 is a constant independent of k. Here (4.21) follows from (4.19) and the $C^{3,\alpha}$-convergence of $\check{f}_k$.

By the same argument of [7], we check that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \max_{D_{b_1}(\check{z}_\infty^\circ)} \|\nabla \log |\check{\mathbb{A}}_k|\|^2_{\check{f}_k} = 0. \tag{4.22}$$

Note that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \check{z}^i} \log \check{\mathbb{D}}_k = \sum \frac{\partial \check{\xi}_j}{\partial \check{z}^i} \frac{\partial \log \check{\mathbb{D}}_k}{\partial \check{\xi}_j}.$$

Then, by (4.21), we can check that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|\nabla \log \check{\mathbb{D}}_k\| = 0, \quad \lim_{k\to\infty} \|\nabla^2 \log \check{\mathbb{D}}_k\| = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \check{\mathcal{K}}_k(\check{z}_k^o) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \check{\mathbb{K}}_k(\check{z}_k^o). \tag{4.23}$$

Combining (4.19), (4.22), (4.23) and $\check{\mathcal{R}}_k(\check{z}_k^o) = 1$, we get, for k large enough,

$$2\check{\mathcal{K}}_k(\check{z}_k^o) \ge 1 - \epsilon. \tag{4.24}$$

On the other hand, we can conclude that $\check{\mathcal{K}} \equiv 0$ as in [7]. This contradicts (4.24). The theorem is proved. □

5. An Upper Bound of H

In this section, we present an upper bound of a function H to be defined below.

We assume that $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a Delzant polytope and that M is the corresponding toric variety. Set

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(M,\omega_g) = \{f | f = g + \phi, \phi \in C^\infty(M) \text{ is } T^n\text{-invariant}\}.$$

Fix a large constant $K_o > 0$. We set

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(M,\omega_g; K_o) = \{f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M,\omega_o) || \mathcal{S}(f)| \leq K_o\}.$$

Choose a local holomorphic coordinate system $z_1, ..., z_n$ on M. Set

$$H = \frac{\det(g_{i\bar{j}})}{\det(f_{i\bar{j}})}.$$

It is known that H is a global function defined on M. Set

$$\mathbb{H} = \frac{\mathbb{F}_g}{\mathbb{F}_f} = \frac{\mathbb{D}_g}{\mathbb{D}_f} H,$$

where

$$\mathbb{D}_g := (\nabla^g)^* \mathbb{D}, \quad \mathbb{D}_f := (\nabla^f)^* \mathbb{D}.$$

Let $\mu : M \to \bar{\Delta} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the moment map. We introduce notations:

$$\begin{aligned} R_g &= \max_M \left\{ \left| \sum g^{ij} \left(\log \mathbb{F}_g\right)_{ij} \right| \right\}, \\ \mathcal{D} &= \max_{\bar{\Delta}} \left\{ \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} (\log \mathbb{D}) \right| \right\}, \\ \mathcal{R} &= \max \left\{ R_g, \mathcal{D}^2, diam(\Delta) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Li, Lian, and Sheng [22] proved the following result.

Theorem 5.1. *For any $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$, there holds*

$$H \leq \mathsf{C}_6 \exp\left\{ (2\mathcal{R}+1) \left(\max_M \{\phi\} - \min_M \{\phi\} \right) \right\}, \tag{5.1}$$

where C_6 is a constant depending only on n, $\max|A|$ and $\mathcal{R}$.

6. A Lower Bound of H

In this section, we present a lower bound of the function H defined in the previous section. We first introduce a subharmonic function.

Let ϑ be a vertex and $\mathbb{C}^2_\vartheta$ be a coordinate chart associated to the vertex ϑ. Now consider an element $\mathsf{f} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M,\omega)$. Let f_ϑ be its restriction to U_ϑ. We introduce a function

$$F_\vartheta = \log \mathbb{F}_\vartheta + N f_\vartheta.$$

We now prove that F_ϑ is a *subharmonic* function, with respect to $\Box$, the Laplacian operator of the metric $\mathcal{G}_f$. In [24] we proved the following result.

Lemma 6.1. *Choose $\{o, \nu^i,\ i = 1, ..., n\}$ as a base of $\mathbb{R}^n$, let $\bar{\Delta} \subset \{(\xi_1, ..., \xi_n) | \xi_1 > 0,\ \xi_2 > 0,\ ..., \xi_n > 0\}$ be a Delzant polytope satisfying*

$$\sum_{\alpha \in R_{M^+}} \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n M_\alpha^j\right) diam(\Delta)}{D_\alpha} < \frac{n}{4}. \tag{6.1}$$

Then there is a constant $N > 0$, depending only on n, $\mathbb{D}$, Δ, and the position of Δ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, such that for any vertex p of Δ

$$\Box(\log \mathbb{F}_p + N f_p) > 0.$$

Here $p = \vartheta$ and $n = 2$. Set

$$W_{g_\vartheta} = \det((g_\vartheta)_{i\bar{j}}), \quad \mathbb{F}_g = W_{g_\vartheta} \mathbb{D}_g.$$

Since $\mathbb{F}_g$ is uniformly bounded and

$$C^{-1} \leq \mathbb{D}_f \leq C, \ \ C^{-1} \leq \mathbb{D}_g \leq C \tag{6.2}$$

for some constant $C > 0$, by the same argument of [8], we have the following result.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a Delzant polytope and (M, ω_o) be the associated compact toric surface. Assume that $\mathbb{D}$ is an edge-nonconstant function and $u_k = v + \psi_k \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Delta, v)$ be a sequence of functions satisfying* (3.1)*. Suppose that*

(1) *A_k C^3-converges to A on $\bar{\Delta}$;*
(2) *$\max_{\bar{\Delta}} |u_k| \leq \mathsf{C}_o$,*

where C_o is a constant independent of k. Then, for any k,

$$\mathsf{C}_7^{-1} \leq H_{f_k} \leq \mathsf{C}_7, \tag{6.3}$$

where C_7 is a positive constant independent of k.

7. A Convergence Theorem

In this section, we extend Theorem 6.11 [7] to the generalized Abreu equations.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $z_o \in \mathsf{U}_\bullet$, where $\bullet$ can be Δ, ℓ_i or ϑ_i, and $B_a(z_o)$ be a geodesic ball in $\mathsf{U}_\bullet$. Suppose that $f(z_o) = 0$, $\nabla f(z_o) = 0$ and*

$$\mathcal{K}(f) \leq \mathsf{N}_4, \quad \det(f_{i\bar{j}}) \leq \mathsf{N}_4, \quad |z| \leq \mathsf{N}_4 \quad \text{in } B_a(z_o),$$

for some positive constant N_4. Then there is a constant $a_1 > 0$, depending on a and C_1, such that $D_{2a_1}(z_o) \subset B_{a/2}(z_o)$, and for any $k \geq 0$,

$$\|f\|_{C^{k+3,\alpha}(D_{a_1}(z_o))} \leq \mathsf{C}_8(a, \mathsf{N}_4, \|A\|_{C^k}, \|\mathbb{D}\|_{C^{k+1}}),$$

where $\mathsf{C}_8 > 0$ is a constant depending only on a, $\|A\|_{C^k}$, $\|\mathbb{D}\|_{C^{k+1}}$ and N_4.

Proof. We only prove the case $B_a(z_o) \subset \mathsf{U}_\ell$; the proof for other cases is similar. Assume that $Z_\ell = \{z_1 = 0\}$. As in [7], we can prove

$$C_1^{-1} \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq C_1 \quad \text{for any } q \in B_{a/2}(p_0),$$

and

$$\|f\|_{C^2} \leq C_1, \tag{7.1}$$

where λ_1, λ_2 are eigenvalues of the matrix $(f_{i\bar{j}})$ and C_1 is a positive constant depending on n, a and N_4. Note that $\mathbb{F}_\ell = W\mathbb{D}$, where $W = \det(f_{i\bar{j}})$. In coordinates U_ℓ we can rewrite (4.3) as

$$-\sum f^{i\bar{j}} \frac{\partial^2(\log(W\mathbb{D}))}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} + \frac{1}{2}\sum \frac{\partial \log \mathbb{D}}{\partial \xi_i} Re\left[z_i \frac{\partial \log(W\mathbb{D})}{\partial z_i}\right] = \mathbb{A}_\ell. \tag{7.2}$$

We point out that first-order terms appear in (7.2) due to the presence of $\mathbb{D}$ for homogeneous toric bundles. By applying Krylov-Safonov's estimate to the equation (7.2), we have $\log(W\mathbb{D}) \in C^\alpha(U)$, for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$. By

$$\xi_1 = z_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \quad \xi_2 = 2\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_2}, \quad \frac{\partial \log \mathbb{D}}{\partial z_i} = \frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial z_i}\frac{\partial \log \mathbb{D}}{\partial x_j}$$

and (7.1), we can check that $\log \mathbb{D} \in C^1(U)$. It follows that

$$\det(f_{i\bar{j}}) \in C^\alpha(U).$$

Then by the same argument as in [7], we obtain the desired estimate. □

8. The Proof of Main Theorem

In this section, we prove the existence of the solution to (2.11) by the standard continuity method. The closedness is provided by Theorem 8.3 below. For technical reasons, we are only able to prove this under the condition that $\mathbb{A}_\ell$ is an edge-nonvanishing. Due to this, we need to require that the Duistermaat-Heckman polynomial $\mathbb{D}$ is an edge-nonconstant function.

8.1. **The Method of Continuity.** In this subsection, we construct a 1-parameter family of equations to solve (2.11) by the method of continuity. We first demonstrate that edge-nonconstant $\mathbb{D}$ implies edge-nonvanishing $\mathbb{A}_\ell$.

Remark 8.1. Let $\ell \subset \partial\Delta$ be an edge and (ξ_1^ℓ, ξ_2^ℓ) be the coordinates such that

$$\ell \subset \{\xi^\ell | \xi_1^\ell = 0\}, \quad \Delta \subset \{\xi^\ell | \xi_1^\ell \geq 0\}.$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{A}_\ell = A + \sum_{k=1}^{2} \frac{\partial \log \mathbb{D}}{\partial \xi_k^\ell}\frac{\partial x_\ell^1}{\partial x_\ell^k}.$$

We consider the coordinate transformation

$$\tilde{\xi}_1 = \xi_1^\ell,\ \tilde{\xi}_2 = \xi_1^\ell + a\xi_2^\ell,$$

where a is a constant to be determined. By the Legendre transforation we have

$$\tilde{x}^1 = x_\ell^1 - ax_\ell^2,\ \tilde{x}^2 = x_\ell^2.$$

Set

$$\tilde{\mathbb{F}} = \det\left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial\tilde{x}^i\partial\tilde{x}^j}\right)e^{-\tilde{x}^1},\quad \tilde{\mathbb{A}} = -\Box\log\tilde{\mathbb{F}}.$$

Then,

$$\tilde{\mathbb{A}} = A + \sum_{k=1}^{2}\frac{\partial\log\mathbb{D}}{\partial\xi_k^\ell}\frac{\partial\tilde{x}^1}{\partial x_\ell^k} = \mathbb{A}_\ell - a\frac{\partial\log\mathbb{D}}{\partial\xi_2^\ell}. \tag{8.1}$$

Since $\mathbb{D}$ is an edge-nonconstant function, we have $\frac{\log\mathbb{D}}{\partial\xi_\ell^2} \neq 0$. By choosing a appropriately, we conclude that $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}|_\ell \neq 0$. Set

$$A_0 = \mathcal{S}(v) + h_G,\quad \mathbb{A}_\ell^0 = A_0 - a\frac{\partial\log\mathbb{D}}{\partial\xi_2^\ell},\quad A_1 = A,\quad \mathbb{A}_\ell^1 = A_1 - a\frac{\partial\log\mathbb{D}}{\partial\xi_2^\ell}.$$

Hence by choosing a in (8.1) appropriately, we can fix $q_\ell \in \ell$ and coordinates (ξ_1^ℓ, ξ_2^ℓ) for any edge of $\partial\Delta$, such that

$$\xi^\ell(q_\ell) = 0,\qquad \ell \subset \{\xi^\ell | \xi_1^\ell = 0\},\quad \Delta \subset \{\xi^\ell | \xi_1^\ell \geq 0\}, \tag{8.2}$$

and

$$|\mathbb{A}_\ell(q_\ell)| > 2\delta_o > 0,\quad |\mathbb{A}_\ell^o(q_\ell)| > 2\delta_o > 0,\quad \mathbb{A}_\ell^o\mathbb{A}_\ell > 0, \tag{8.3}$$

for some constant $\delta_o > 0$. Hence, we can assume that the whole path A_t, connecting A_0 and $A_1 = A$, such that $\mathbb{A}_\ell^t = (1-t)\mathbb{A}_\ell^0 + t\mathbb{A}_\ell^1$ satisfies (8.5) below. On each ℓ, let ϵ be a positive constant such that

$$D_{2\epsilon}(q_\ell) \cap \partial\Delta \subset \ell, \tag{8.4}$$

and, for any $t \in [0,1]$,

$$|\mathbb{A}_\ell^t| > \delta_o \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}^\ell := D_\epsilon(q_\ell) \cap \bar{\Delta}, \tag{8.5}$$

for a constant $\delta_o > 0$.

Let K be a scalar function on $\bar{\Delta}$ of $G \times_T M$ and suppose that there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that Δ is $(\mathbb{D}, A, \lambda)$ stable, where $A = K - h_G$.

Let $I = [0,1]$ be the unit interval. At $t = 0$ we start with a known metric $\mathcal{G}_v$. Let K_0 be its scalar curvature on Δ and $A_0 = K_0 - h_G$. Then, Δ is $(\mathbb{D}, A_0, \lambda_0)$ stable for some constant $\lambda_0 > 0$ (cf. [21]). At $t = 1$, set $(A_1, \lambda_1) = (A, \lambda)$. On Δ, set

$$A_t = tA_1 + (1-t)A_0,\quad \lambda_t = t\lambda_1 + (1-t)\lambda_0.$$

Obviously, $A_t = tA_1 + (1-t)A_0$. It is easy to verify that Δ is $(\mathbb{D}, A_t, \lambda_t)$ stable.

Remark 8.2. For any $t \in [0,1]$, Δ is $(\mathbb{D}, A_t, \lambda')$-stable, where $\lambda' = \min\{\lambda_0, \lambda_1\}$.

Let $u_t \in \mathbf{S}_{p_o}$ be a solution of the equation

$$-\frac{1}{\mathbb{D}}\frac{\partial^2 \mathbb{D} u_t^{ij}}{\partial\xi_i \partial\xi_j} = A_t.$$

Applying C_0 estimates, we have

$$|\max_{\bar\Delta} u_t - \min_{\bar\Delta} u_t| \le \mathcal{C}_1 \quad \text{for any } t \in [0,1],$$

where $\mathcal{C}_1$ is a constant depending only on λ', Δ, $\|\mathbb{D}\|_{C^0}$ and $\|A_0\|_{C^0} + \|A_1\|_{C^0}$.

Set

$$\Lambda = \left\{ t| -\frac{1}{\mathbb{D}}\frac{\partial^2 \mathbb{D} u^{ij}}{\partial\xi^i \partial\xi^j} = A_t \text{ has a solution in } \mathbf{S} \right\}.$$

We will prove that Λ is open and closed. The openness is standard by following an argument by Lebrun and Simanca [20]. The closedness is provided by Theorem 8.3 below. This then implies Theorem 1.1.

8.2. **Regularity near $\partial\Delta$.** In this subsection, we discuss the regularity near $\partial\Delta$. The following result can be regarded as the main result in this paper.

Theorem 8.3. *Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a Delzant polytope and (M, ω_o) be the associated compact toric surface. Suppose that $\mathbb{D}$ is an edge-nonconstant function on $\bar\Delta$. Let $u_k = v + \psi_k \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Delta, v)$ be a sequence of functions satisfying* (3.1)*. Suppose that*

(1) *A_k C^3-converges to A on $\bar\Delta$;*
(2) *$\max_{\bar\Delta} |u_k| \le \mathsf{C}_o$,*

where $\mathsf{C}_o > 0$ is a constant independent of k. Then, there is a subsequence of ψ_k which $C^{6,\alpha}$-converges to a function $\psi \in C^{6,\alpha}(\bar\Delta)$ with $\mathbb{S}(v+\psi) = K$ where $K = A + h_G$.

Proof. We estimate ψ_k in two steps, near edges in the first step and near vertices in the second.

Step 1: Estimates on edges. We will prove the regularity near each edge ℓ where $\mathbb{A}_\ell$ does not vanish. Let ℓ be any edge and (ξ_1^ℓ, ξ_2^ℓ) be the coordinates such that

$$\xi_1^\ell(\ell) = 0, \quad \Delta \subset \{\xi^\ell | \xi_1^\ell \ge 0\}.$$

By Remark 8.1, we can assume that $q_\ell \in \ell$ such that $|\mathbb{A}_\ell(q_\ell)| > 0$. Recall that $f_k = g + \phi_k$, where f_k, g are Legendre transform of u_k, v respectively and $\phi_k \in C^\infty_{\mathbb{T}^2}(M)$. Set

$$\Omega = \{(z_1, z_2) | \log|z_1|^2 \le \frac{1}{2}, |\log|z_2|^2| \le 1\}.$$

By the convergence of A_k to A, we can assume that

$$|\mathbb{A}_k| > \delta > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
$$D_{2a}(\xi^{(\ell)}) \subset \tau_{f_k}(\Omega),$$

and

$$|z_1(\mathfrak{z}_k^{(\ell)})| = 0, \quad |z_2(\mathfrak{z}_k^{(\ell)})| = 1,$$

where δ, a are positive constants independent of k, and $\mathfrak{z}_k^{(\ell)} \in Z_\ell$ whose image of the moment map is $\xi^{(\ell)}$.

We omit the index k if there is no danger of confusion. By Theorem 3.3, we conclude that there is a constant $\epsilon > 0$ that is independent of k such that

$$B_\epsilon(\xi^{(\ell)}) \cap \Delta \subset D_a(\xi^{(\ell)}) \cap \Delta.$$

Then $B_\epsilon(\mathfrak{z}^{(\ell)})$ is uniformly bounded. Hence, on this domain, we assume that all data of g_ℓ are bounded.

Note that $\mathbb{F}_{g_\ell}, W_{g_\ell}, \mathbb{D}_g$ and $\mathbb{D}_f$ are bounded on $B_\epsilon(\mathfrak{z}^{(\ell)})$. By Theorem 6.2, we have

$$C_1^{-1} \leq W_f \leq C_1, \quad C_1^{-1} \leq \mathbb{F}_f \leq C_1 \quad \text{in } B_\epsilon(\mathfrak{z}^{(\ell)}). \tag{8.6}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$\partial_{22}^2 h|_{D_a(\xi^{(\ell)})\cap\ell} \geq \mathsf{C}_3.$$

Then by Theorem 4.1 and (8.6), we conclude that there is a constant $C_2 > 0$ independent of k such that

$$\mathcal{K} + \mathbb{K} \leq C_2 \quad \text{in } B_\epsilon(\mathfrak{z}^{(\ell)}). \tag{8.7}$$

By the convexity of u and $\|u - v\|_{L^\infty(\Delta)} \leq \mathcal{C}_1$, we have

$$|\partial_2 u| \leq \mathsf{C}_o a^{-1}, \qquad \partial_1 u \leq \mathsf{C}_o a^{-1}.$$

That is $\max_{B_\epsilon(\mathfrak{z}^{(\ell)})} |z| \leq C_3$. Hence, by Lemma 7.1, we have the regularity of f on $B_\epsilon(\mathfrak{z}^{(\ell)})$.

Step 2: Estimates on vertices. We will prove the regularity in neighborhoods of each vertex with the help of subharmonic functions in Lemma 8.4 below.

Let $\phi = \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{g} \in C^\infty(G \times_K M)$, where $\mathbf{f}$(resp. $\mathbf{g}$) are potential function of the metric $\mathcal{G}_u$(resp. $\mathcal{G}_v$). Let $(t^1, \cdots, t^{n+l})$ be the local holomorphic coordinates of $G \times_K M$. Let $\mathbf{f}_{A\bar{B}} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{f}}{\partial t^A \partial \bar{t}^B}$ and $\mathbf{f}^{A\bar{B}}$ denotes the inverse of the matrix $\mathbf{f}_{A\bar{B}}$. Set

$$T = \sum \mathbf{f}^{A\bar{B}} \mathbf{g}_{A\bar{B}} = n - \Box\phi, \quad P = \exp(\kappa \mathbb{F}^\alpha)\sqrt{\mathbb{F}}\mathbf{\Psi}, \quad Q = e^{-N_1(\phi - inf\phi + 1)}\sqrt{\mathbb{F}}T.$$

In the basis of $\{S_j, \bar{S}_j, S_\alpha, \bar{S}_\alpha\}_{j\leq n, \alpha \in R_{M^+}}$, one can check that (see [24])

$$\mathcal{G}_f = 2 \sum_{A,B=1}^{2+l} f_{,A\bar{B}} (\omega^A \otimes \bar{\omega}^B)$$

where $\{\omega^A\}$ are dual $(1,0)$ form of $\{S_j\}$, and f is the function defined in §2.2. Then, f is potential function of $G\times_K M$. Hence,

$$\phi = f - g.$$

Note that f_ϑ and f_ℓ are not a potential function. A direct calculation gives us

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{2} f^{ij} g_{ij} + \sum_{\alpha\in R_{M^+}} \frac{\sum\limits_{i,j=1}^{2} f^{ij}\frac{\partial D_\alpha}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j}}{\sum\limits_{k,l=1}^{2} f^{kl}\frac{\partial D_\alpha}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^l}} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2} f^{ij} g_{ij}, \tag{8.8}$$

where we used $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} > 0,\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} > 0,\ \sum\limits_{i=1}^{2} f^{ij}\frac{\partial D_\alpha}{\partial x^i} = \frac{\partial D_\alpha}{\partial \xi_j} > 0$ for any $j \leq 2, \alpha \in R_{M^+}$.

Since $\sum\limits_{k,l=1}^{2} f^{kl}\frac{\partial \log D_\alpha}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^l} = 1$, we can check that

$$T \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} f^{ij} g_{ij} + \sum_{\alpha\in R_{M^+}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} f^{ij}\frac{\partial \log D_\alpha}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} f^{ij} g_{ij} + C, \tag{8.9}$$

where C is a constant depending only on $diam(D)$ and $\max_\Delta |\nabla \log \mathbb{D}|$.

Li, Sheng, and Zhao [24] prove the following lemma.

Lemma 8.4. *Let ϑ be a vertex, $\Omega \subset \mathsf{U}_\vartheta$ and $-\Box \log \mathbb{F}_\vartheta = \mathbb{A}_\vartheta$. Suppose that*

$$\|\mathbb{A}_\vartheta\|_{C^1(\tau_f(\bar\Omega))} \leq \mathsf{N}_5, \quad \mathbb{F}_\vartheta \leq \mathsf{N}_5, \quad \sup|\phi| + \sup_\Omega |z| \leq \mathsf{N}_5 \quad , \tag{8.10}$$

for some constant $\mathsf{N}_5 > 0$ independent of k. Assume that (2.4) *holds with $n = 2$. Take*

$$N_1 = 100, \alpha = \frac{1}{3}, \kappa = [4\mathsf{N}_5^{\frac{1}{3}}]^{-1}. \tag{8.11}$$

Then,

$$\Box(P + Q + \mathsf{C}_9 f_\vartheta) \geq \mathsf{C}_{10}(P+Q)^2 > 0, \tag{8.12}$$

for some positive constants C_9 and C_{10} depending only on N_2, the structure constants of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathbb{D}$, Δ and the position of Δ in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

By Step 1, there is a bounded open set $\Omega_\vartheta \subset \mathsf{U}_\vartheta$, independent of k, such that $\vartheta \in \tau(\Omega_\vartheta)$ and the regularity of f_ϑ holds in a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega_\vartheta$. By the G-action, we get a G-invariant neighborhood in $G\times_K M$, denotes by $G(\Omega_\vartheta)$. By (8.9) we have T is uniform bounded in a neighborhood of $G(\partial\Omega_\vartheta)$, the boundary of $G(\Omega_\vartheta)$. It follows from Lemma 8.4 that T is uniform bounded in $G(\Omega_\vartheta)$. We omit the index k if no confusion occurs.

By Lemma 8.4, P and Q are bounded above. Since $\mathbb{F}_{g_\vartheta}$, $\frac{\mathbb{D}_g}{\mathbb{D}_f}$ are uniformly bounded, by Theorem 6.2, we conclude that $\mathbb{F}_{f_\vartheta}$ and W is bounded below and above in $G(\Omega_\vartheta)$. Then, T is bounded above. Since T is G-invariant, by (8.8) we have a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$C_1^{-1} \le \chi_1 \le \chi_2 \le C_1, \qquad \|\nabla \log \mathbb{F}_{f_\vartheta}\|_{\mathcal{G}_f} \le C_1$$

where χ_1, χ_2 be the eigenvalues of the matrix $(\sum g^{i\bar{j}} f_{k\bar{j}})$. Let λ_1, λ_2 (resp. μ_1, μ_2) be the eigenvalue of the matrix $(f_{i\bar{j}})$ (resp. the matrix $(g_{i\bar{j}})$). Since $C_2^{-1} \le \mu_1, \mu_2 \le C_2$ for some constant $C_2 > 0$, we can conclude

$$C_3^{-1} \le \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le C_3, \qquad \|\nabla \mathbb{F}_{f_\vartheta}\|_{C^1(\Omega_\vartheta)} \le C_3 \quad \text{ in } \Omega_\vartheta.$$

By the bound of $\|\nabla \log \mathbb{D}_f\|$, we have

$$\|\log W_f\|_{C^1} \le C_4.$$

By Theorem 6.7 in [7], we have, for any $U \subset\subset \Omega_\vartheta$,

$$\|f_\vartheta\|_{C^{6,\alpha}(U)} \le C_5.$$

where C_5 is a constant depends on $\|A\|_{C^3(\Delta)}$, $d_E(U, \Omega_\vartheta)$ and the bound of Ω_ϑ.

Since f_ϑ is G-invariant, we get the interior regularity f_ϑ in $G(\Omega_\vartheta)$; namely, ϕ_k uniformly $C^{6,\alpha}$-converges to a function $\phi \in C^{6,\alpha}(G \times_K M)$ with $\mathbb{S}(\phi + g) = K \circ \nabla^f$. Then, $\psi \in C^{6,\alpha}(\bar{\Delta})$ satisfies (3.1). □

REFERENCES

[1] M. Abreu, *Kähler geometry of toric varieties and extremal metrics*, Internat. J. Math., 9(1998), 641-651.

[2] V. Apostolov, D. Calderbank, P. Gauduchon, *Hamiltonian 2-forms in Kähler geometry. I. General theory*, J. Diff. Geom., 73(2006), 359-412.

[3] A. Arvanitoyeorgos, An Introduction to Li groups and the Geometry of Homogeneous Spaces, Translated from the 1999 Greek original and revised by the author. Student Mathematical Library, 22. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. xvi+141 pp.

[4] E. Calabi, *Extremal Kähler metrics*, Seminar on Differential Geometry, Ann. of Math. Studies, University Press, Series 102(1982), Princeton, 259-290.

[5] B.-H. Chen, Q. Han, A.-M. Li, L. Sheng, *Interior Estimates for the n-dimensional Abreu's Equation*, Advances in mathematics, 251(2014), 35-46.

[6] B.-H. Chen, A.-M. Li, L. Sheng, *Uniform K-stability for extremal metrics on toric varieties*, J. Diff. Equations, 257(2014), 1487-1500.

[7] B.-H. Chen, A.-M. Li, L. Sheng, *Affine techniques on extremal metrics on toric surfaces*, arXiv:1008.2606.

[8] B.-H. Chen, A.-M. Li, L. Sheng, *Extremal metrics on toric surfaces*, arXiv:1008.2607.

[9] X.-X. Chen, C. Lebrun, B. Weber, *On conformally Kähler, Einstein manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 21(2008), 1137-1168.

[10] S. Dinew, X. Zhang, X.-W. Zhang, *The $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimate of complex Monge-Ampère equation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 60(2011), 1713-1722.

[11] S. K. Donaldson, *Scalar curvature and stability of toric varieties*, J. Diff. Geom., 62(2002), 289-349.
[12] S. K. Donaldson, *Interior estimates for solutions of Abreu's equation*, Collect. Math., 56(2005), 103-142.
[13] S. K. Donaldson, *Extremal metrics on toric surfaces: a continuity method*, J. Diff. Geom., 79(2008), 389-432.
[14] S. K. Donaldson, *Kähler geometry on toric manifolds, and some other manifolds with large symmetry*, Handbook of Geometric Analysis, Vol. 1, 29-75, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics 7, Higher Education Press and International Press, Beijing-Boston, 2008.
[15] S. K. Donaldson, *Constant scalar curvature metrics on toric surfaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal., 19(2009), 83-136.
[16] C. E. Gutiérrez, *The Monge-Ampère Equations*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, Vol.44, Birkhauser, 2001.
[17] V. Guillemin, *Moment Maps and Combinatorial Invariants of Hamiltonian T^n-Spaces*, Progress in Mathematics, 122, Boston, 1994.
[18] B. Guan, *The Dirichlet problem for complex Monge-Ampère equations and applications*, Trends in Partial Differential Equations, 53-97, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics 10, Higher Education Press and International Press, Beijing-Boston, 2009.
[19] Q. Han, *Nonlinear Elliptic Equations of the Second Order*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 171, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2016.
[20] C. LeBrun, S. R. Simanca, *Extremal Kähler metrics and complex deformation theory*, Geom. Funct. Anal., 4(1994), 298-336.
[21] A.-M. Li, Z. Lian, L. Sheng, *Interior regularity for the generalized Abreu equation*, Preprint.
[22] A.-M. Li, Z. Lian, L. Sheng, *Some estimates for the generalized Abreu equation*, Preprint.
[23] A.-M. Li, R.-W. Xu, U. Simon, F. Jia, *Affine Bernstein Problems and Monge-Ampère Equations*, World Scientific, 2010.
[24] A.-M. Li, L. Sheng, G. Zhao, *Differential inequalities on homogeneous toric bundles*, Preprint.
[25] T. Nyberg, *Constant scalar curvature of toric fibrations*, Ph. D. Thesis, Columbia University.
[26] F. Podesta, A. Spiro, *Kahler-Ricci solitons on homogeneous toric bundles*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 642(2010), 109-127.
[27] A. Raza, *Scalar curvature and multiplicity-free actions*, Ph. D. Thesis, Imperial College London (2005).
[28] G. Székelyhidi, *Extremal metrics and K-stability*, Bull. London Math. Soc., 39(2007), 76-84.
[29] G. Tian, *Extremal metrics and geometric stability*, Houston J. Math., 28(2002), 411-432.
[30] G. Tian, *Canonical Metrics in Kahler Geometry*, Lectures in Mathematics Eth Zurich, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000.
[31] S.-T. Yau, *On the Ricci curvature of compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 31(1978), 339-411.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU, 610064, CHINA
E-mail address: bohui@cs.wisc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, IN 46556, USA
E-mail address: qhan@nd.edu

BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100871, CHINA
E-mail address: qhan@math.pku.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU, 610064, CHINA
E-mail address: anminliscu@126.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU, 610064, CHINA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU, 610064, CHINA
E-mail address: lshengscu@gmail.com